



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/057,433	01/25/2002	Ian H. Todd	MICR0257	9654

7590 01/05/2005

Ronald M. Anderson
Law Offices of Ronald M. Anderson
Suite 507
600-108th Avenue N.E.
Bellevue, WA 98004

EXAMINER

PILLAI, NAMITHA

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2173

DATE MAILED: 01/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/057,433	Applicant(s) TODD ET AL.	
	Examiner Namitha Pillai	Art Unit 2173	

-- **Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on th cover sheet with the correspondence address --**
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 25 January 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/30/02</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 28-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by U. S.

Patent No. 6, 393, 443 B1 (Rubin et al.), herein referred to as Rubin.

Referring to claim 28, Rubin discloses a method for capturing data displayed near a cursor location controlled with a pointing device in an electronic display (Figure 3). Rubin discloses hooking into an operating system output module that renders data to the electronic display (Figure 1). Rubin discloses invalidating an update region of the electronic display, wherein the update region is defined as a function of the cursor location in the electronic display, forcing the operating system output module to re-render the data to the update region of the electronic display and copying the data from the operating system output module while the operating system output module is re-rendering the data to the update region of the electronic display (column 4, lines 30-40).

Referring to claims 29 and 34, Rubin discloses patching an .idata section associated with a target process that controls the electronic display (column 1, lines 40-45).

Referring to claims 30 and 35, Rubin discloses invoking a redraw application programming interface that instructs the operating system to issue a paint message to a procedure

Art Unit: 2173

for redrawing the electronic display, the paint message causing the procedure to execute the operating system output module to redraw the update region of the electronic display window (Figure 3).

Referring to claims 31 and 36, Rubin discloses mapping font glyphs to text if the data comprises font glyphs, mapping text coordinates to screen coordinates if the operating system output module provides the data to a window device context and saving the data if the operating system output module provides the data to a memory device context (Figure 3).

Referring to claim 32, Rubin discloses a machine-readable medium having machine instructions for carrying out the steps of Claim 28 (Figure 1).

Referring to claim 33, Rubin discloses a system for capturing data displayed near a cursor location in an electronic display (Figure 3). Rubin discloses a processor, a display in communication with the processor, the display displaying a cursor at a location in the display (column 5, lines 55-60 and Figure 2A). Rubin discloses a memory in communication with the processor and storing machine instructions that cause the processor to hook into an operating system output module that renders data to the electronic display (column 1, lines 36-40). Rubin discloses invalidating an update region of the electronic display, wherein the update region is defined as a function of the cursor location in the electronic display, force the operating system output module to re-render the data to the update region of the electronic display and copy the data from the operating system output module while the operating system output module is re-rendering the data to the update region of the electronic display (column 4, lines 30-40).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2173

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rubin and U.S. Patent No. 5, 651, 107 (Frank et al.), herein referred to as Frank.

Referring to claim 1, Rubin discloses a method for automatically delivering electronic content related to text appearing in a display (Figure 2A). Rubin discloses detecting a cursor location within a target window in which the text is displayed, causing a target process associated with the target window to re-render the text to the target window in an update region that includes the cursor location (Figure 3), determining a primary word that occurs at the cursor location from the re-rendered text, searching a first electronic data store for content related to the primary word and displaying a result of the search (column 1, lines 39-50). Rubin does not disclose displaying the results in a semitransparent window that is persistently visible and that enables content displayed underlying the result to be visible. Frank discloses teaching displaying data in semitransparent windows, wherein these windows are persistently visible to the user and enables content displayed underlying the result to be visible (Figure 8). It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art, at the time of the invention to display the results in a semitransparent window that is persistently visible and that enables content displayed underlying the result to be visible. Frank discloses wherein the purpose of the invention is to teach displaying transparent windows thereby allowing for more information to be displayed. Frank has clearly taught the use of transparent windows when needed to display the underlying data of

Art Unit: 2173

a window, wherein it would have been obvious for Rubin to learn from the well-known teachings shown in Frank to display the search results of Rubin in a semitransparent window. It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to display the results in a semitransparent window that is persistently visible and that enables content displayed underlying the result to be visible.

Referring to claims 2 and 16, Rubin discloses receiving only a single cursor move message from a pointing device that controls the cursor location within a predetermined hover time, indicating that the cursor has remained stationary for at least the predetermined hover time, the cursor move message including a coordinate identifying the cursor location and receiving only a single cursor move message from a pointing device that controls the cursor location within a predetermined hover time, indicating that the cursor has remained stationary for at least the predetermined hover time, said cursor move message including a coordinate identifying the cursor location (column 9, lines 40-45 and column 12, lines 40-42).

Referring to claims 3 and 17, Rubin discloses inserting machine instructions into a memory space of the target process, executing the machine instructions, hooking a text-out module, invalidating the update region, wherein the update region is defined as a function of the cursor location; executing the text-out module to re-render the text to the update region and copying the text from the text-out module while the text-out module is re-rendering the text to the update region (column 4, lines 30-40).

Referring to claims 4 and 18, Rubin discloses determining a character that is closest to the cursor location, from the re-rendered text, detecting a first termination point that occurs before the character, wherein the first termination point indicates the beginning of the primary

Art Unit: 2173

word, detecting a second termination point that occurs after the character, wherein the second termination point indicates the end of the primary word and identifying the primary word as a set of characters between the first termination point and the second termination point (Figure 3).

Referring to claims 5 and 19, Rubin discloses searching a local electronic data store for content related to the primary word and searching a remote electronic data store for content related to the primary word (column 6, lines 56-64).

Referring to claims 6 and 20, Rubin and Frank disclose automatically providing the semitransparent window at a defined location in the display, the semitransparent window being sized to overlay only a portion of the display, displaying at least a portion of the result of the search in the semitransparent window and enabling a user to obtain additional content related to the primary word by selecting an option in the semitransparent window (Frank, Figure 8).

Referring to claims 7 and 21, Rubin discloses determining context word associated with the primary word (Figure 3).

Referring to claims 8 and 22, Rubin discloses determining the context word from the rendered text and determining the context word from a characteristic of text being processed by the target process (Figure 3).

Referring to claims 9 and 23, Rubin discloses searching the first electronic data store based on a combination of the primary word and the context word and if no content was found based on the combination of the primary word and the context word and searching the first electronic data store based on the primary word (Figures 2A and 2B).

Art Unit: 2173

Referring to claims 10 and 24, Rubin discloses displaying an alternate word that is spelled similar to the primary word in the result if no content was found based on the primary word (Figure 2C).

Referring to claims 11 and 25, Rubin discloses searching an additional electronic data store for additional content related to the primary word and enabling a user to selectively view the additional content in the result (Figures 2A and 2B).

Referring to claims 12 and 26, Rubin discloses enabling a user to selectively indicate that an additional electronic data store is to be searched prior to the first electronic data store, thereby indicating a priority of information desired by the user, searching the additional electronic data store for additional content related to the primary word prior to searching the first electronic data store and if additional content is found, displaying at least a portion of the additional content of the search of the additional electronic data store in the semitransparent window prior to displaying the result of the search of the first electronic data store (Figures 2A and 2B).

Referring to claims 13 and 27, Rubin and Frank disclose maintaining a focus on an active window so that the user need not return the focus from the semitransparent window, to the active window after a result is displayed (Frank, Figure 8).

Referring to claim 14, Rubin discloses a machine-readable medium having machine instructions for performing the steps of Claim 1 (Figure 1).

Referring to claim 15, Rubin discloses a system for automatically delivering electronic content related to text appearing in a display (Figure 2A). Rubin discloses a processor, a display in communication with the processor, display displaying a cursor location and a target window that includes text (column 5, lines 55-60 and Figure 2A). Rubin discloses a pointing device

Art Unit: 2173

adapted to be controlled by a user and coupled in communication with the processor, the pointing device producing a signal indicating the cursor location on the display (column 1, lines 36-40).

Rubin discloses a user input device having at least one key, the user input device being coupled in communication with the processor and a memory in communication with the processor and storing machine instructions (Figure 2A and column 5, lines 55-60). Rubin discloses detect the cursor location indicated by the signal produced by the pointing device on the display device, cause a target process associated with the target window to re-render the text to the target window in an update region of the display that includes the cursor location disposed proximate to the text being re-rendered (Figure 3). Rubin discloses to determine from the re-rendered text a primary word that is disposed proximate to the cursor location, search a first electronic data store for content related to the primary word and display a result of the search (Figures 2A and 2B). Rubin does not disclose displaying the results in a semitransparent window that is persistently visible and that enables content displayed underlying the result to be visible. Frank discloses teaching displaying data in semitransparent windows, wherein these windows are persistently visible to the user and enables content displayed underlying the result to be visible (Figure 8). It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art, at the time of the invention to display the results in a semitransparent window that is persistently visible and that enables content displayed underlying the result to be visible. Frank discloses wherein the purpose of the invention is to teach displaying transparent windows thereby allowing for more information to be displayed. Frank has clearly taught the use of transparent windows when needed to display the underlying data of a window, wherein it would have been obvious for Rubin to learn from the well-known teachings shown in Frank to display the search results of Rubin in a semitransparent window. It

Art Unit: 2173

would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to display the results in a semitransparent window that is persistently visible and that enables content displayed underlying the result to be visible.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record on form PTO-892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111(c) to consider these references fully when responding to this action. The documents cited therein teach the method for displaying data related to text.

Responses to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington D.C. 20231. If applicant desires to fax a response, central FAX number (703) 872-9306 may be used. NOTE: A Request for Continuation (Rule 60 or 62) cannot be faxed.

Please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT" for informal facsimile communications. For after final responses, please label "AFTER FINAL" or "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE" on the document. Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Namitha Pillai whose telephone number is (571) 272-4054. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:30 PM.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca can be reached on (571) 272-4048.

Art Unit: 2173

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

Namitha Pillai
Assistant Examiner
Art Unit 2173
December 27, 2004



JOHN CABECA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100